



# MINUTES

## County Council Meeting

### Special Meeting

Thursday, May 12, 2022

Spartanburg County Council met on Thursday, May 12, 2022, at 11:00 a.m. in County Council Chambers of the County Administration Building. The following were in attendance: Honorable Manning Lynch, Chairman; Honorables Monier Abusoft, Jack Mabry, David Britt, Justin McCorkle, and Bob Walker, Council Members; along with Cole Alverson, County Administrator; Earl Alexander, Deputy County Administrator; Kevin Stiens, Assistant County Administrator, John Harris, County Attorney; and Ryan Gaylord, Deputy County Attorney. Honorable Jessica Coker, Council Member, was absent. In compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, notice of this meeting was provided in advance to the local news media and other interested parties.

Meeting was live streamed via YouTube. Links and instructions for how to access this meeting via computer or mobile device were made available on the County's webpage and provided to the local media.

#### I.

Chairman Lynch called the meeting to order. Honorable Bob Walker led the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### II.

Cole Alverson, County Administrator, presented information in reference to a **Budget Ordinance** relating to the fiscal affairs of Spartanburg County making appropriations therefore, levying taxes for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2022 and ending June 30, 2023; to provide for budget control of said appropriation by the Spartanburg County Council. (Presentation included in backup)

Council was given their Budget Notebook, a copy of the Capital Improvement Plan in its entirety included in the Notebook, and printed versions of the Budget Ordinance as proposed and the Capital Budget Ordinance as proposed.

The Budget this year is heavily focused on alignment with Council's Visions. At the December Council Retreat, Council talked about a revised vision for

Spartanburg County. Acceleration of our Roads Infrastructure Program was one of the things discussed. Other things were more oriented around recognizing that as a growing County some of the needs and the areas we need to focus on change from time to time. The Budget Recommendation directly supports and aligns with the vision items identified even though we have not yet formally adopted a Strategic Plan to execute the Vision. Staff is trying to go ahead and anticipate some of those strategies that will be needed.

The next area of focus is understanding and recognizing that the growth we are experiencing as a County is also affording us some financial opportunity to do some new things. A series of recommendations is included as part of the Budget package that will allow us not only to maintain balance with the growing population, but it will also allow us to advance the ball in a number of key areas that Council has been focusing on for some time to include our infrastructure programs.

The third key area is an understanding that we need be adaptable. We have a lot of interesting economic things that are coinciding at once that we have not seen happen in recent years. We have record high inflation. We have some major disruptions in a supply chain. We have constriction of the labor market. We have a lot of influx of federal funding coming in. Those things combined are producing some interesting phenomenon. As a result, we recognize as a team that we need to make sure that we can be adaptable and flexible over the next year to deal with whatever it is that may manifest as a part of those challenges.

The fourth area is that we have some operational challenges around recruitment and retention. The constriction in the labor market is hitting every business, and our industry as a service provider is no different. Administration spent a lot of time thinking through how these challenges manifest in our service of providing service to constituents in the County and how we might deploy some funding throughout the Budget process to help alleviate some of the manifestations and to help fill our positions.

This is a responsible Budget Recommendation. It is reasonably conservative. Administration is making sure things have been anticipated and that we have latitude to deal with changes in the economy while being able to commit to providing core services and advancing Council's Vision.

The Recommended Budget for FY22-23 across all funds is about \$265 million. This is about a \$24 million increase over the prior year Budget. Our General Fund, the single largest operating fund of the County and also the place where our core governmental services are budgeted, is growing by about \$16.8 million to \$136,790,000. It is important to note that of that amount about \$10.9 million is a drawdown of our accumulated Capital Reserve to deploy some strategic road projects and also some general Capital improvements. Our Detention Facility Fund is about \$21.2 million. This is about a \$1.3 million increase over last year.

This fund is heavily influenced by inflation. Our Library Fund is about a \$1.7 million increase to nearly \$18.3 million. Our Solid Waste Fund is recommended at \$17 million. This is about a \$3.8 million increase. This fund is heavily influenced by Capital. We have a large Capital Project for a Landfill Expansion coming up that directly influences this number. Our Parks Fund is recommended at \$11.9 million. This is about a \$2.8 million increase, again heavily influenced by Capital and some new projects we are trying to complete with accumulated reserves. The Community College Fund is recommended at about \$11.4 million. This is about a \$1.1 million increase. This is also derived off of some Capital improvements they want to do to some of their facilities. The Special Revenue Fund is recommended at \$10.1. This is about a \$200,000 increase. Our Hospitality Tax Fund is \$6.2 million. This is about a \$700,000 increase over last year heavily influenced by Capital. The sum of our Total Other Funds is about \$32.1 million. This is a \$1.6 million decrease compared to last year. The decrease is mainly driven by the discontinuation of the Road Fee, which reduced a revenue stream coming into the County.

A Graph showing what the funds look like in terms of functional priority was shown. As is typical, about 30% of our Budget goes toward Public Safety. This is about \$78.5 million. This is largely consistent in terms of percentage with what we have done in prior years. The other large portions go to Quality of Life, which includes Library and Community College, which heavily drives that percentage, as well as Public Works. Through the Capital Budget, we have some additional funding for Public Works that may not necessarily reflect here that will drive that number up. The upshot here is that these percentages are roughly in line with what we have seen in prior years.

The total recommended funding level for General Fund Revenues is \$136,790,000. From a revenue standpoint, the heavy driver for our General Fund is growth and taxes. We are seeing strong revenue growth. Taxes as a category will add about \$9.5 million to our Budget for next year. This includes Current Tax, Delinquent Tax, and Fee-in-Lieu-of-Tax paid by businesses that come to Spartanburg County. For the Current Tax portion, we see a very strong trend of real estate growth. Real Estate is about 75% of our base. The Other 25% of base are things like vehicles, boats, motors, aircrafts, and things of that nature. Real Estate is expected to grow by about 9%. The Other Property Categories is expected to grow a little over 3%. On Real Estate, this is properties that have actually been built. They are now being added to the tax rolls in the coming year. We have also seen an improvement in our collection rate. The numbers you see here are not based on any change in millage. Tax rates are recommended to stay the same for the following fiscal year. Our Fee-in-Lieu-of-Tax Revenue is going up about 10%, a total of \$1.9 million for the General Fund. For Permits, Fees and Fines, we have a total increase of \$1.3 million. Development activity yields a little over \$1 million. The Court fees generally stay relatively flat. We do have an increase in revenues coming in from Probate Court, which is derived off their demand for services. Magistrate fines

go up about \$200,000 as well. This represents the return of normal Court operations for an entire fiscal year. State Sources are expected to go up a total of \$1.8 million of which \$1.1 million is the increase for the Local Government Fund. We expect both the allocation to the Local Government Fund to increase as well as the County's share of the Local Government Fund because our population has grown in the last census relative to other jurisdictions. There has been some discussion at the State of a potential Continuing Resolution which would perhaps pause some of the new funding going there. Administration feels optimistic that the funding presented is representative of what the County will ultimately receive in the next fiscal year.

From an Expenditure standpoint, Administration is recommending a 5% Cost-of-Living-Adjustment (COLA) for employees. In addition, it is recognized that law enforcement has faced some additional pressures in terms of recruiting and retention. Our entry level salaries lag behind our comparable jurisdictions. In addition to the 5%, Administration is recommending adjusting Sworn Law Enforcement positions by an additional 2.5% to bring the entry level salary for deputies for both the roadside and the Detention Facility side, as well as the Coroner's Office that are also sworn to bring those up to their comparable jurisdictions so that we can directly compete. Hopefully, this will help reduce the higher vacancy rates we are dealing with now that have been a challenge for all of us, especially in the law enforcement arena. The Budget also includes a 1% increase in the South Carolina Retirement System Employer Contribution rates. This will cost about \$500,000. The employee rates are statutorily capped. Health Insurance will increase 18.1% effective January of 2023. This is the first time since we have gone to the South Carolina Public Employee Benefit Authority (PEBA) over six years ago that we have seen an increase above 8%. Administration has been planning to help take the sting out of any larger rate increases should they ever occur, so we are able to phase this in as part of our planning, but it will come as an increase to the budgetary expenditures of the County. The employee premiums will not be adjusted; however, PEBA will consider some changes to things like deductibles and copays that you pay at the point of service should you need the service. PEBA has not told us what that may be yet. They have close to nine months to make the final decisions. Hopefully, within the next month or two, we will understand more about that landscape. The information will then be communicated to the departments and employees.

Mr. Walker asked if we are fully on the State insurance plan. Mr. Alverson stated that for all active employees and pre-sixty-five retirees, we are on the State insurance plan. Post sixty-five retirees are handled a little different that is not directly attached to the State program. Mr. Walker stated it would be helpful to know more about how it will affect the employees. Mr. Alverson stated that they are being told by other jurisdictions who are on different types of plans that they have seen large increases. A lot of it is derived based off what is happening in the medical field, both care for Covid patients and the cost, but also having an

acute shortage of nurses and consequently the medical field is having to pay a lot more for nurses. Unfortunately, this is an anomaly that is impacting all of us fairly equally. Hopefully, this will come back down as things normalize and we get further away from the pandemic. Mr. Abusaft stated that he thinks we need a COLA for all our employees. He sees that we are doing a special COLA for just sworn officers. He disagrees with the way we are thinking about this. If we are talking about a principled approach, there are certain pieces that work together all as a unit. The Sheriff's Office works with the Solicitor's Office, the Public Defender's Office, and the Clerk's Office. Those pieces all move together. Mr. Abusaft does not agree with doing a COLA for one piece and not anyone else connected with it. He thinks we should be thinking of those units as groups. There are large scale vacancies in other departments. Communications has 20% plus vacancies and is a large department, but we are not doing anything in that area. Mr. Alverson stated that he is certainly happy to explore that more. Truly, the intent was to help with vacancies, and the highest singular vacancy rate occurs in the Detention Facility, both the rate of vacancy as well as the quantity of vacancies. Staff did focus heavily there first, acknowledging that we have some other challenges in some other areas. The fact that law enforcement officers are in a pay classification and truly other systems unto themselves lends itself to some level of division, but certainly Staff can explore some more options. Mr. Abusaft stated that both the Solicitor's Office and the Public Defender's Office think they are being treated like the minor leagues for Greenville's offices. When they have vacancies, they pull people up, and we are losing people that are in white collar jobs we control. Secondly, the loss of those people jams up the system. Mr. Lynch stated that health insurance is an opportunity for us. We need to be proactive there. Mr. Alverson stated they have been kicking around various ideas about how we become more of an employer of choice. We have to figure out how to differentiate ourselves in the labor marketplace. The County has not traditionally had to try hard at this. Staff will look at how we might make a transition that is more generous for employees.

Council has challenged Staff to make some advances on their vision. Accelerating improvement of roads is one of those things. Also, we have some areas where the demand for services has led to an imbalance, and we need to add some staff to keep pace with those services. Administration recommended a series of positions, both in the General Fund and some of our other Funds, to try to deal with those two acute issues. The first recommendation was to add a Project Management Team in Roads and Bridges. This would encompass four new people to include a couple of project managers, a GIS oriented position, and a Right-of-Way Agent. Administration also recommended adding a Transportation Planner to help identify needed infrastructure projects. Other recommended positions include a couple of positions in the investigative arena for the Sheriff's Office; two Coroner Investigators; an Estate Specialist for the Probate Office; and two Property Maintenance Inspectors. Administration also recommended establishment of a tiered position structure in our larger departments in an attempt to improve our ability to retain employees once they

get here by offering them professional opportunity for advancement as their utility and their benefit for their job improves. The Solicitor's Office and the Public Defender's Office have these structures in place. The recommendation is to add them for part of Building Codes, 9-1-1 Communications, the Assessor's Office, Planning, Probate, Veterans' Affairs, and the Nursing Staff at Detention. In terms of the rest of the Expenditure side, Administration recommended \$136,790,000 for Fuel due to price volatility; Inflationary impact on day-to-day expenditures; Maintenance of road expenditure shifts; Insurance premium increase (retiree, and property & liability); Outside agencies; Online Convenience Fees; Dedicated funds to address council priorities; Emphasis on animal services and facility planning; and Contribution to Capital Improvement Plan.

The Detention Facility Fund, which houses all the operations there, has seen increases. The Detention Facility Fund is recommended at \$21,248,000. Housing Federal Prisoner revenue has decreased by \$240,000. Home Detention revenue has increased by \$120,000. Inflationary cost trends mirror our General Fund. Meals costs increased by \$340,000. The Solid Waste Fund is recommended at \$17,043,000. We have had some strong growth in tipping volumes and patron visits. Administration recommended adding three new parttime site operators to help at the Valley Falls collections center. We also have a large Capital project to expand the landfill within the current confines of landfill boundaries. We are just building another cell out to make sure we have disposal capacity for future years. For our Storm Water Fund, Administration is recommending a couple of new positions aimed at helping accommodate increased demand. The total recommendation is \$1,831,000. Hospitality Tax Fund is recommended at \$6,266,000. Revenues continue to grow. We have funds set aside for a Trails Bank project and a new Public Access Land Bank project. In Other Funds, inflationary costs trends mirror our General Fund trends. The estimates, as part of the Budget package, reflect those trend changes. A series of one-time capital is also planned.

Council has for their consideration a Budget Ordinance for first reading. Public hearing and second reading are planned for May 16, 2022. Third reading is tentatively planned in June.

Mr. Alverson thanked Lisa Benfield, Kelly Newman, Pam Owen, Dawn Moody, and Traci Crocker for their hard work

Mr. Abusaft requested figures on funding for the Public Defender's Office and the Solicitor's Office. He would like for us to get down to a per unit funding figure or a per case amount considering that the Private Bar supplements the Public Defender's Office, but the Solicitor's Office does not handle everything in Magistrate Court. Officers handle some of those cases. The Public Defender's Office handles all the indigent cases. Mr. Alverson stated they will also carve out things like Pretrial Intervention, Drug Court, and other standalone programs. They will identify those separately and make sure they have the right pieces in

order to get the closest apples to apples comparison. Mr. Abusaft is not going to get in way of the Budget by voting against it, but there are two new Investigator positions for violent crimes. Are we at the point of thinking about how many violent crimes investigators are at the Public Defender's Office? It is a core fundamental function of government that we have to provide defense for indigent people the same as we do rich people. It falls largely upon us as County Government. Mr. Alverson stated they will do some more work to better understand the relationship there. They think about it, but they will work toward mathematically mapping the answer. Mr. McCorkle stated that he is not interested in going as deep as Mr. Abusaft requested in breaking it down, but he would like to see more information on this. Everyone in his district is concerned about law enforcement presence and response times.

Motion made by Mr. Britt to render first reading to a **Budget Ordinance** relating to the fiscal affairs of Spartanburg County making appropriations therefore, levying taxes for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2022 and ending June 30, 2023; to provide for budget control of said appropriation by the Spartanburg County Council. Motion seconded by Mr. Mabry and carried.

### III.

Lisa Benfield, Budget and Finance Director, presented information in reference to a Budget Ordinance to establish **Capital Project Budgets** for the FY 2022-2023 Budget Year of the Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan. (Presentation included in backup) For FY 2022/23, the recommended Capital Budget is \$57.5 million. Last year, this number was \$95.8 million. This is a \$38 million difference. The difference is largely due to the fact that FY22 included the \$30 General Obligation Bond issue for the road projects. FY22 was a funding year for those projects, and FY23 represents an implementation year. In FY20, we started with the road projects funding. In FY20, we implemented about \$16 million in a General Obligation Bond issue. In FY21, the road projects were lower, because it was an implementation year. In FY22, another \$30 million was added to the project. FY23 is a down year. This is some of the reasons you will see the differences between the two years. In FY24, the project will go up again. The Capital Plan FY 2024-2027 recommended Budget is \$146.7 million. For the five-year Capital Improvement Project Plan, the recommended Budget is \$204 million versus \$283 million that the Plan was last year. Another big factor in this decrease is that as we are getting near to the completion of the Capital Penny projects that we have funded so far, they start to drop off the Plan. This Plan will only include the Joint Governmental Complex that we are funding and the Parking Garage that goes along with it.

A graph was shown which contained charts showing the FY22/23 Capital Budget Project Expenditures in millions and the CIP FY2023-2027 Project Expenditures in millions. For FY23, County Buildings & Facilities represents 47% of the

Capital Budget. The figures are heavily skewed by the Joint Governmental Complex that is included for this year. This will fall off to 32% in the five-year Plan. Roads Infrastructure represents 19% in the FY23 Plan and moves to 33% over the five-year Plan when the General Obligation Bond for \$30 million is added in FY24. The percentages for Public Safety, Technology, Solid Waste, Community and Economic Development, Vehicle and Capital Equipment Replacement, and Parks and Recreation were also included in the graphs.

There are no big changes in our Funding Strategy. The Capital Penny Sales Tax – Building and Facility projects category contains approximately \$26 million in FY23-24 for the Joint Governmental Complex and the garage. In the out years, you will see the \$36 million for the Complex as well as \$7.5 million for remaining road projects in those Capital Penny Funds. When we get near to the completion of the Courthouse projects and the other Capital Penny projects, Staff will have a better idea of how much leftover funding we will have available for the road projects. Then specific projects will be brought to Council for approval. In the Capital Reserve Fund, Staff proposed pulling down \$10.9 million for FY23. Some of the things that will be included are specific projects that focus on County Council's strategic goals. Staff also proposed \$6.6 million for the Steve Belue Fund and the Road Infrastructure Resurfacings; \$1.3 million for the Roads and Bridges Equipment; and \$431,000 in a Technology Upgrade which will replace our current Work Order System and our Fixed Asset Management System. Staff has tried to leverage our restricted revenue sources where appropriate. Our Solid Waste Fund Capital Reserve is being used to cover our Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Expansion. Parks Reserves covers things like our athletic field lighting. Hospitality Tax covers our trails banks and things that we can use for tourism.

For Buildings and Facilities FY 2022/23, funding has been completed for the Parking Garage, the Central Energy Plant, the Emergency Operations Center Building, the Municipal Police Building, and the Courthouse. The remaining funding is for the Joint Governmental Complex and the Parking Garage. County Facilities Planning project is covering the beginning money to be set aside for the Animal Services project to figure out the scope and the amount. The Sheriff's Office Parking Lot Lights project is designed to make the area safer for employees and visitors that are coming to our facility on Howard Street. For Buildings and Facilities FY 2024, we have remaining funding for the Joint Governmental Complex. We have also planned an Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Roof Replacement at 525 Union Street. The building was constructed in 1999, and they are starting to experience several leaks over the last couple of years. In FY 2025, we have a project for the Sheriff's Office Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Replacement at the Howard Street facility. These current units are about fourteen years old. Hopefully, we will be able to replace them with more energy efficient units so that we can see some savings in the future from doing the replacement.



For Road Infrastructure, we are putting about \$10.8 million into the FY 2022/23 Budget. The majority of this is for our normal annual projects that we do on roads. For Road Infrastructure FY 2024-2027, we again have routine projects as planned in FY23. We also have buckets of funding for Countywide projects like Intersection Improvements, Road Widening, Sign Management, Stormwater Infrastructure, and Safety Improvements. These are things that have not been specifically identified for a road or a road project, but we have a bucket of funding to use as things come up. Under Corridor Road and Intersection Improvements, we have approximately nine projects that we are proposing to fund in the out years. We also have a project for Pavement Asset Evaluation System in FY24.

The Vehicle and Capital Equipment Budget covers the annual replacements we do every year.

Solid Waste FY 2022/23 Budget includes almost \$5 million for construction of the Phase VII Class II MSW Landfill at Wellford. Phase VII is being done in multiple cells. The initial construction began in FY19. This funding provides for the construction of an additional cell. Once all these phases are completed, we should have disposal capacity up through 2045. Mr. Alverson stated this is predicated off of disposal rates. If disposal rates change, useful life changes. FY23 Budget also provides for some planning services for the Class II Construction and Demolition Overlay; Wellford Landfill Security Camera Update; and Routine replacements, gas system expansion, and leachate. Solid Waste FY 2024-2027 Budget includes year two of the Wellford Landfill Phase VII Construction and routine replacements, gas system expansion, leachate, and soil haul.

For Parks FY 2022/23 Budget, our Parks Funds and Accommodations Tax Funds are in really good shape. We have received a lot of revenues off of property taxes in the Parks Fund. Our Hospitality Funds have been really good for the last year. We have been able to advance some of our funding from what was planned last year and add more funding to our allocation for the Trails Bank from the Hospitality Fund. The Public Access Land Bank project is designed to provide us an opportunity to purchase land when we can in order to have the greenspace on our inventory to use for public access in the future. Both the Trails Bank and the Public Access Land Bank will help us foster a higher quality of life for our constituents as well as promoting economic growth in our County. We also have included funding for Athletic Field Lighting. This project allows us to maximize the field use. It also promotes and markets our parks for future sports tournaments. We have included funds for Community Park Improvements and Playground Improvements; Dog Park in Boiling Springs Area; and Roof Replacements. The Parks FY 2024 – 2027 Budget includes Trails Bank and Public Access Land Bank as well as Routine Replacements and Improvements.

Technology FY 2022/23 and FY 2024 – 2027 provide for routine replacements in our technology category for things like network infrastructure, servers,

computers, printers, and scanners. FY 2022/23 also includes the implementation of another module to our Tyler ERP software. This will help our Fleet Services Department and our Information Technologies Department. There could also be some potential use in the future for our Public Works Office. The FY 2024 – 2027 Budget also includes Tyler ExecuTime (ERP phase 6). This software will help with functionality for our current time entry system. Mr. Abusaft stated that he hopes as we go in the out years that we make some planning around technology for the Judicial System.

The Public Safety FY 2022/23 Budget provides for the routine replacement of Communications radios that are used by our Sheriff's Office, Detention Facility, Emergency Services, and some of our other departments. This ensures that our radios remain in good condition for our first responders. This project is designed to replace 45 handheld radios and 45 mobile radios. We also have a new project in for Automated Dispatch for our Fire and Emergency Medical Service (EMS) Alerting. This improves the dispatch technology by using tones and computerized messages to activate units from our dispatch. It uses artificial technology to enhance the effectiveness of the dispatch system, and it eliminates some of the issues we currently have with a human that is putting the information out. It removes things like dialect issues, mispronunciations, background noise, and stress. It also frees up our dispatchers to focus on more vital tasks they need to do. We also have a project for the replacement of the uninterrupted power supply systems at towers at Hobbysville, Stone Station, and Fairforest. In the Public Safety FY 2024 – 2027 Budget, we have continued replacement for our 800 MHz Radios; Law Enforcement Mobile Data, and Routine Replacement of Tasers and Body Worn Cameras.

The Community Development FY 2022/23 Budget includes Affordable Housing Assessment; Gateway Enhancement; Affordable Housing and Housing Rehabilitation; and Countywide Infrastructure. The Community Development FY 2024 – 2027 Budget includes Area Performance Planning; Affordable Housing and Housing Rehabilitation; and Countywide Infrastructure.

The Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan is \$204 million. The FY 2022/23 Budget is \$57.5 million.

Motion made by Mr. Mabry to render first reading to a Budget Ordinance to establish **Capital Project Budgets** for the FY 2022-2023 Budget Year of the Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan. Motion seconded by Mr. Britt and carried.

#### IV.

Billy Martin, Spartanburg County Transportation Committee Engineering Supervisor, presented information in reference to an Uncodified Ordinance to grant **Utility Easements to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC** to install overhead

primary cable and power poles onto or through County owned property facilitating the relocation and realignment of 4th Street in Boiling Springs. Mr. Martin stated Duke Energy is moving some existing power poles within the current right-of-way. The easements are needed to relocate the utility lines that already exist in the area in order to facilitate the relocation of 4<sup>th</sup> Street. Mr. Alverson stated that if we want to do the project, we have to give Duke Energy the easement. Motion made by Mr. Mabry to render first reading to the Ordinance to grant the utility easement to Duke Energy. Motion seconded by Mr. McCorkle and carried.

V.

Motion made by Mr. Abusaft to go into Executive Session to receive legal advice subject to the attorney-client privilege regarding the Bennett Landfill Fire Superfund Site. Motion seconded by Mr. Mabry and carried. Motion made by Mr. Walker to come out of Executive Session at 12:44 p.m. Motion seconded by Mr. Abusaft and carried.

VI.


Motion made Mr. Britt to authorize the County Administrator and our County Attorney to move forward as Council discussed in Executive Session. Motion seconded by Mr. Abusaft and carried.

VII.


Meeting adjourned.

Spartanburg County Council

By:

  
A. Manning Lynch, Chairman

Attest:

  
Deborah C. Ziegler  
Clerk to Council